

REMARKS

This is a response under 37 CFR §1.116. The remarks herein, to the extent they were not presented earlier, are now presented because they are necessitated by the arguments made by the Examiner in the last office action. Since this response is being filed within two months of the mailing date of the final rejection, the courtesy of an advisory action is respectfully requested. Claims 3, 5, 8-9, 12, 14, 17-20, 23-26, 28-33, and 37-40 are in this application. Claims 1-2, 4, 6-7, 10-11, 13, 15-16, 21-22, 27, and 34-36 have been cancelled. Claims 3, 5, 8, 9, 12, 14, 17-20, 23, and 24 have been allowed.

Applicant requests the Examiner to indicate whether the replacement sheets filed on January 25, 2005 (and received by the PTO on January 28, 2005) have been accepted.

The Examiner rejected claims 25-26 and 28 under 35 U.S.C. §102(b) as being anticipated by Hmida et al. (U.S. Patent No. 4,920,509). For the reasons set forth below, applicant respectfully traverses this rejection.

Claim 25 recites:

“a first adder cell having:

“a first exclusive OR circuit having a first input, a second input, and an output, the output having a logic state that represents an exclusive ORing of a logic state on the first input and a logic state on the second input;

“a first output circuit having:

“a first transmission gate having first and second transistors connected to an input and an output, the first and second transistors having first and second gates, respectively;

“a second transmission gate having first and second transistors connected to an input and an output, the first and second transistors of the second transmission gate having first and second gates, respectively, the outputs of the first and second transmission gates being connected together;

“a third transmission gate having first and second transistors connected to an input and an output, the first and second transistors of the third transmission gate having first and second gates, respectively;

“a fourth transmission gate having first and second transistors connected to an input and an output, the first and second transistors of the fourth transmission gate having first and second gates, respectively, the outputs of the third and fourth transmission gates being connected together; and

“a first inverting circuit having an input connected to the input of the second transmission gate and the input of the third transmission gate, and an output connected to the input of the fourth transmission gate.

In rejecting the claims, the Examiner pointed to exclusive OR gate 2200 shown in FIG. 8 of Hmida as constituting the exclusive OR gate required by claim 25, modules 2400 and 2800 shown in FIG. 8 of Hmida as constituting the first output circuit required by claim 25, and inverter 2500 shown in FIG. 8 of Hmida as constituting the first inverting circuit required by claim 25.

Inverter 2500 shown in FIG. 8 of the Hmida et al. reference, however, can not be read to be the first inverting circuit required by claim 25 because inverter 2500 does not have an input connected to the inputs of the second and third

transmission gates, and an output connected to the input of the fourth transmission gate.

In the following discussion, it is assumed that the Examiner has read the lower and upper transmission gates in module 2400 to be the first and second transmission gates, respectively, required by claim 25, and the lower and upper transmission gates in module 2800 to be the third and fourth transmission gates, respectively, required by claim 25. It is further assumed that the Examiner has read the first transistor of the first transmission gate to be the left-side transistor, and the second transistor of the first transmission gate to be the right-side transistor.

As shown in FIG. 8 of Hmida, inverter 2500 has an input and an output that are connected to the gates of the lower and upper transmission gates in module 2400 (assumed to be read to be the first and second transmission gates required by claim 25), and the gates of the lower and upper transmission gates in module 2800 (assumed to be read to be the third and fourth transmission gates required by claim 25).

Thus, although inverter 2500 is connected to the gates, the input of inverter 2500 is not connected to the input of the upper transmission gate in module 2400 (assumed to be read to be the second transmission gate) and the input of the lower transmission gate in module 2800 (assumed to be read to be the third transmission gate). In addition, inverter 2500 does not have an output that is connected to the input of the upper transmission gate in module 2800 (assumed to be read to be the fourth transmission gate). As a result, inverter 2500 does not have an input and an output that are connected to the transmission gates as required by claim 25.

Further, the gates of the transmission gates can not be read to be the inputs of the transmission gates. Claim 25 requires that the first transmission gate have first and second transistors that are connected to an input and an output. Thus, the first and second transistors of the first transmission gate must be connected to the input of the first transmission gate, and the first and second transistors of the first transmission gate must be connected to the output of the first transmission gate.

As shown in FIG. 8 of Hmida, no terminal of the right-side transistor (assumed to be read to be the second transistor) of the lower transmission gate in module 2400 is connected to the gate of the left-side transistor (assumed to be read to be the first transistor) of the lower transmission gate in module 2400. Thus, the gate of the left-side transistor of the lower transmission gate in module 2400 can not be read to be the input of the lower transmission gate in module 2400 because the right-side transistor must be connected to the input of the lower transmission gate. As a result, the gates of the transmission gates can not be read to be the inputs of the transmission gates.

Thus, since the Hmida reference fails to teach or suggest an inverting circuit as required by claim 25, claim 25 is not anticipated by Hmida et al. In addition, since claims 26 and 28 depend either directly or indirectly from claim 25, claims 26 and 28 are not anticipated by Hmida for the same reasons as claim 25.

The Examiner also rejected claims 30-31 and 37-40 under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) as being unpatentable over Hmida et al. In rejecting the claims, the Examiner argued that Hmida teaches all of the limitations of claim 25. However, as noted above, Hmida does not teach all of the limitations of claim 25. Thus, since Hmida does not teach all of the limitations of claim 25, claims 30-31 and 37-40 are patentable over Hmida for the same reasons that claim 25 is not anticipated by Hmida.

The Examiner objected to claims 29 and 32-33 as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but indicated that these claims would be allowable if rewritten to be in independent form to include all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims. However, in view of the above discussion, claims 29 and 32-33 have not been amended at this time.

10/014,287

PATENT

Thus, for the foregoing reasons, it is submitted that all of the claims are in a condition for allowance. Therefore, the Examiner's early re-examination and reconsideration are requested.

Respectfully submitted,

Dated: 1-30-06

By: Mark C. Pickering

Mark C. Pickering
Registration No. 36,239
Attorney for Assignee

P.O. Box 300
Petaluma, CA 94953-0300
Telephone: (707) 762-5500
Facsimile: (707) 762-5504
Customer No. 33402

AMENDMENT UNDER 37 CFR §1.116,
EXPEDITED PROCEDURE REQUESTED

Atty. Docket No. 100-16400
(P05090)